

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vrignia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,157	07/23/2003	Mark Bendett	GK-ZEI-3215/ 500343.20226	7991
26418	7590 02/22/2006		EXAM	INER
REED SMITH, LLP			FARAH, AHMED M	
ATTN: PATE	INT RECORDS DEPAR	TMENT		
599 LEXINGTON AVENUE, 29TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NY 10022-7650	•	3735	<u></u> _

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\sim$	
١.	ベヘ
v	v
Α.	4
U	U

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/625,157	BENDETT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ahmed M. Farah	3735				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 No	ovember 2005.					
,	·					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>24,25,28,29,31-34 and 37-46</u> is/are p						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>24,25,28,29,31-33 and 44-46</u> is/are a	llowed.					
,— , , ———	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34 and 37-43</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the $\square$	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 34 and 37- 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mourou et al. U.S. patent No. 5,656,186.

Mourou et al. disclose apparatus and methods of use for applying laser beams onto a tissue, the apparatus comprising a pulsed laser, wherein the laser pulse has a wavelength of between 770 nm to 800 nm (see col. 5, line 26 and col. 8, line 29), a pulse width of 10 to 100 fs (col. 2, lines 32-40 and col.8, line 33), pulse energy of 0.001 to 10 nJ, and frequency of 250 to 350 KHz (col. 4, line 35).

As to claim 40, Mourou et al. teach that the pulsed laser beams are scanned over the target area (see claim 25, step c).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 41-43 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mourou et al. in view of Gerlach et al. U.S. Patent No. 6872,202.

Mourou et al., described above, do not teach the use of a fiber laser or disk laser, or their combination thereof. However, the use of different laser, such as a fiber or disk laser to treat a material is well known in the art. Gerlach et al. teach an ophthalmic laser device wherein the radiation source is selected from the group consisting of a diode laser, fiber laser, etc. Therefore, at the time of the applicant's invention, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use a fiber or disk laser as an equivalent alterative source to provide the treatment energy.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 24, 25, 28, 29, 31-33 and 44-46 are allowed.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on November 30, 2005, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that Mourou et al. (US Patent No. 5,656,186), fails to teach or suggest "beam devices for beam shaping and/or <u>beam control</u> and/or beam deflection and/or <u>beam focusing</u>" as recited in amended claim 34.

In response to this argument, Figures 5 and 6 of Mourou et al. clearly show beam focusing lenses. Furthermore, as shown in the title, the invention of Mourou et al. is

directed to a Method for Controlling Configuration of Laser Induced Breakdown and Ablation."

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ahmed M. Farah whose telephone number is (571) 272-4765. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur. 9:30 AM-7:30 PM, and 9:30 AM - 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ali Imam can be reached on (571) 272-4737. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ahmed M Farah Primary Examiner Art Unit 3735

February 19, 2006.